HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION bill analysis(2nd reading) HB 836 4/20/2023All control of the second		
SUBJECT:	Adjusting requirements for retiring members from the Texas Sta	te Guard
COMMITTEE:	Defense & Veterans' Affairs — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	9 ayes — Wilson, R. Lopez, Bumgarner, Dorazio, Frank, Garcia Shaw, Muñoz, Slaton	a, Morales
	0 nays	
WITNESSES:	For — Larry Todd, State Guard Association of Texas; Jon Gimb (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Jim Brennan, Texas Coalition of Organizations; Mitch Fuller, Veterans of Foreign Wars Departm Texas; Dale Laine; Kym Olson)	Veterans
	Against — None	
BACKGROUND:	Government Code sec. 437.309 establishes that the governor or general, under the governor's authority, is authorized to transfer Texas State Guard Honorary Reserve an officer or a guard who certain conditions.	to the
DIGEST:	HB 836 would require members of the Texas State Guard (TXS) placed on retired status rather than transferred to the TXSG Hon Reserve and would make conforming language in statute. The balso, upon a service member's separation from TXSG:	orary
	 allow the commander of TXSG to place a service member retired status; specify that the physical disability of a service member by placed on retired status must be as a result of service; remove the requirement that the service member must be old to be placed on retired status; and require that at least five years of the required 20 year comservice minimum be served in TXSG. 	eing 60 years

HB 836 House Research Organization page 2

	The commander of TXSG would be required to issue an identification card to any service member who was placed on retired status.
	The bill would take effect September 1, 2023.
SUPPORTERS SAY:	HB 836 would eliminate confusion by placing service members on retired status rather than transferring them to the Honorary Reserve. Currently, when the governor activates reserve members to respond to state emergencies or disasters, some members of the Honorary Reserve report for duty. This bill would change the wording in statute to prevent these misunderstandings.
	Eliminating age requirements would provide more flexibility for younger service members who have already completed their 20 years of service, which would increase overall retention within TXSG.
CRITICS SAY:	No concerns identified.