HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 3/28/2023	(2nd reading) HB 590 Bailes	
SUBJECT:	Requiring honey labeled "Texas honey" to be exclusively Texa	s-made	
COMMITTEE:	Agriculture & Livestock — favorable, without amendment		
VOTE:	9 ayes — Cain, Anderson, Bernal, Goodwin, Harris, Kitzman, Thimesch, Wilson	— Cain, Anderson, Bernal, Goodwin, Harris, Kitzman, Rosenthal, sch, Wilson	
	0 nays		
WITNESSES:	For — Judith McGeary, Farm and Ranch Freedom Alliance; C	hris Moore	
	Against — Tim Burleson, Burleson's Honey		
	On — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Kevin Hale, Libertarian Texas)	Party of	
DIGEST:	HB 590 would require any product labeled as "Texas honey" to exclusively from honey produced in Texas apiaries.	be made	
	The bill would take effect September 1, 2023.		
SUPPORTERS SAY:	HB 590 would ensure transparency between honey producers a consumers by requiring labels to accurately reflect the compon- product labeled with "Texas honey." Currently, honey produce legally mislabel products that are not exclusively composed of honey. This misleads consumers and can have health consequer some people use Texas honey to acclimate their bodies to local HB 590 would protect consumers by allowing them to confider purchase Texas products and know what they are consuming. A genuine label could increase the value of Texas honey and have economic impact.	ents of a rs can Texas nces, as allergens. ntly A more	
	HB 590 would not prevent anyone from selling blended or fore products in Texas but would preserve the integrity of the state's regulating what could be labeled as "Texas Honey."	•	

HB 590 House Research Organization page 2

	Concerns about enforcement of the bill could be addressed using DNA research to more accurately identify the honey's origin.
CRITICS SAY:	HB 590 could damage Texas honey businesses by disrupting long- established business practices. The bill also would not account for the impact of the unpredictable and often restrictive conditions of Texas weather on honey production.
	Honey produced in Texas can be high in moisture, making it more likely to spoil. Producers will sometimes blend Texas honey with other honeys, which helps to better preserve the product. Due to increasingly unpredictable weather in Texas, other honeys are sometimes unavailable within the state and have to be sourced elsewhere. HB 590 would penalize producers who use small amounts of honey from other states to avoid spoiling. Additionally, HB 590 would overregulate Texas agriculture and disrupt marketing practices which have been used by Texas beekeepers for generations. The bill also would not provide adequate time for producers to adjust their marketing, as most honey produced in Texas is not available until after July of each crop season, which is only a few months before the bill would take effect.
	While HB 590 would not be beneficial to honey producers, the bill could be improved by amending the word "exclusively" to "predominantly," which would allow for small amounts of out-of-state honey to be used to preserve Texas honey.
OTHER CRITICS SAY:	HB 590 would not provide a method by which non-Texas honey could be identified. Although the bill would benefit the Texas honey industry, there should be specific enforcement mechanisms to ensure its effectiveness.