HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 4/17/2017	HB 1526 P. King, et al.
SUBJECT:	Extending state death benefits to survivors of certain peace of	ficers
COMMITTEE:	Homeland Security and Public Safety — favorable, without an	nendment
VOTE:	9 ayes — P. King, Nevárez, Burns, Hinojosa, Holland, J. John Metcalf, Schaefer, Wray	son,
	0 nays	
WITNESSES:	For — Paul Cordova, Rice University Police Department; Sha St. Edward's University Police Department; Richard Shafer, T Association of College and University Police Administrators, Methodist University; Steve McGee, Texas Christian Universit ( <i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Chris Jones, Combined Law E Associations of Texas (CLEAT); Ray Martinez, Independent O Universities of Texas (ICUT); Micah Harmon and AJ Loudert Sheriffs' Association of Texas; Mitch Landry, Texas Municipa Association (TMPA); James McLaughlin, Texas Police Chiefs Association; Gary Sargent, University of Mary Hardin-Baylor Department; Thomas Parkinson)	exas Southern ity; nforcement Colleges and back, al Police
	Against — None	
BACKGROUND:	Government Code, ch. 615 governs financial assistance to elig survivors of certain state or local government employees who result of injury sustained in the line of duty, including certain enforcement officers, firefighters, and others. The law calls fo pay a lump sum of \$500,000 per claim to an eligible surviving surviving children, or surviving parents, in that order.	died as a law r the state to
DIGEST:	HB 1526 would extend financial assistance to an eligible survi- peace officer employed by a private institution of higher educa including a private junior college, who died as a result of injur- in the line of duty.	ation,
	The bill would take effect September 1, 2017, and would apply	y only to a

## HB 1526 House Research Organization page 2

death that occurred on or after that date.

SUPPORTERS
HB 1526 would close a gap in state law and allow eligible survivors of police officers employed by private colleges and universities to receive state death benefits. These officers are licensed by the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement, are vested with full law enforcement powers and responsibilities, and are subject to the same regulatory authority as officers who work for the state. Furthermore, these officers work for state-certified law enforcement agencies that are no different in authority, function, or responsibility than their public counterparts.

Police officers employed by private colleges and universities serve the public and encounter the same risk and dangers as any other peace officer. Through interagency agreements, campus police departments also have concurrent jurisdiction over surrounding areas and frequently are called to back up local law enforcement. If while responding to the same incident, both an officer employed by the state and an officer employed by a private institution were killed, under current law only the eligible survivors of the officer employed by the state would receive death benefits because neither the state nor an alternative fund would provide for the family of the officer employed by the private institution. By extending the same state death benefits to families of all police officers, HB 1526 would recognize that officers may differ in their places of employment but not in their duty to the public.

OPPONENTS It would be a shift in policy, under HB 1526, to extend state benefits to
SAY: employees of a private institution. Private institutions also are likely to
have life insurance policies for their employees that could help provide for
families of fallen police officers.

NOTES: According to the Legislative Budget Board's fiscal note, no significant fiscal impact to the state is anticipated due to the unpredictability of events that might lead to the payment of additional benefits. The Employees Retirement System estimated that two additional deaths would be eligible for financial assistance in the five years following the bill's implementation.