HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 4/29/2015	HB 2536 Harless, et al.
SUBJECT:	Jurisdiction in eminent domain proceedings in Harris County	
COMMITTEE:	Land and Resource Management — favorable, without amend	ment
VOTE:	7 ayes — Deshotel, E. Thompson, Bell, Cyrier, Krause, Lucio	III, Sanford
	0 nays	
WITNESSES:	For — Steve Radack, Harris County Precinct 3 Commissioner Soard and Melissa Spinks, Harris County Attorney's Office; A (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Karen Rove, Associated Gener Contractors of Texas Highway, Heavy Branch; Don McFarlin, Houston; Deborah Cartwright, Olson and Olson LLP; Lee Pars for Lawsuit Reform; Carol Sims, Texas Civil Justice League; T Cannon, Texas Pipeline Association; Michael Garcia, William Against — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Dixon Montague)	lbert Clay; al City of sley, Texans Fhure
BACKGROUND:	Under current law, county civil courts in Harris County have e jurisdiction over eminent domain proceedings. Property Code, gives judges who preside over condemnation hearings authorit three disinterested real property owners who reside in the coun special commissioners to assess the damages of the owner of the being condemned.	sec. 21.014 by to appoint aty as
DIGEST:	HB 2536 would give district courts in Harris County concurrent jurisdiction with county courts over eminent domain proceeding amount in controversy exceeded \$200,000. The bill would estat the amount in controversy would be the amount of the bona find made by the entity with eminent domain authority to acquire the	ngs if the ablish that le offer
	This bill would take effect September 1, 2015, and would appl eminent domain proceeding for which a petition was filed on o date.	• •
SUPPORTERS	HB 2536 is necessary to streamline the eminent domain proces	ss in Harris

HB 2536 House Research Organization page 2

SAY: County. Four county court judges currently hear eminent domain cases. This bill would expand jurisdiction over eminent domain proceedings to include 24 district court judges, which could help cases get resolved more promptly. District court judges could expand the pool of people serving as special commissioners, which could lead to faster resolution for landowners.

> The bill would not take any authority away from the county courts but rather give concurrent jurisdiction to district courts over a limited number of eminent domain cases in which the bona fide offer was greater than \$200,000. About 85 percent of eminent domain cases would remain under the exclusive jurisdiction of the county courts.

> Cases in which the bona fide offer exceeded the \$200,000 limit tend to be more complicated than other eminent domain cases. District courts generally handle more complex cases than county courts, and those judges are well equipped to handle issues that could arise in these cases.

> County courts were given exclusive jurisdiction over these cases because of overburdened district court dockets in the 1980s. Dockets in district courts have become much more manageable, and they are fully capable of handling this caseload.

> Eminent domain is an important part of providing better transportation solutions and public works projects for residents in Harris County, and the bill would allow these proceedings to function more efficiently.

OPPONENTS County courts have proved to be capable of handling eminent domain cases in an efficient manner. They are able to give preferential docket treatment to large eminent domain cases, so the cases that would be covered under this bill actually could go to trial faster in county courts than they would in district courts.

HB 2536 could create substantive and procedural due process issues for property owners involved in eminent domain cases by allowing a party to a lawsuit to decide where it wants the case to be heard. A condemning

HB 2536 House Research Organization page 3

authority could file a case in county court and later move the case to district court to the detriment and inconvenience of the landowner.