HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 5/12/2015	HB 1620 Galindo
SUBJECT:	Prohibiting employment actions against volunteer emergency respo	onders
COMMITTEE:	Business and Industry — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	4 ayes — Oliveira, Simmons, Fletcher, Romero	
	3 nays — Collier, Rinaldi, Villalba	
WITNESSES:	For — Bill Gardner, State Firefighters and Fire Marshals' Association; (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Howard Katz, Harris County ESD No. 46; John Carlton, Texas State Association of Fire and Emergency Districts)	
	Against — None	
DIGEST:	HB 1620 would prohibit certain employers from terminating or suspending the employment of, or in any other manner discriminati against, certain employees. The bill would apply to employees who missed work due to service as a volunteer emergency responder (in emergency medical services volunteers and volunteer firefighters) of an emergency declared by the governor or the president. The bill we apply only to employers that employed 50 or more employees.	cluding during
	Volunteers could not be absent from work for more than 14 days in calendar year, unless the absence was approved by the employer. A employer could reduce an employee's wages that otherwise were of the employee for an absence during the pay period that the employee absent from work due to volunteering in an emergency. In lieu of re- the employee's wages, the employer could require the employee to existing leave time during the absence, except as otherwise provide	n wed to ee was educing use

The bill would entitle an employee who was wrongfully terminated or suspended under this bill to reinstatement of the employee's former or comparable position, compensation for lost wages during the period of suspension or termination, and reinstatement of any fringe benefits or seniority rights lost because of the termination or suspension. An

collective bargaining agreement.

HB 1620 House Research Organization page 2

employee could file a civil lawsuit against the employer to enforce his or her rights in the county where the place of employment was located within one year of the date of the violation.

The employee would be required to make a reasonable effort to notify the employer of tardiness or absences due to responding to an emergency. If the employee was unable to notify the employer due to the extreme circumstances of the emergency, the employee would be required to submit a written verification of participation in an emergency activity at the request of the employer.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2015, and would apply to a cause of action that accrued on or after that date.

SUPPORTERS HB 1620 would provide job security to volunteer emergency responders, SAY: who are trained and provide valuable service to Texas communities. It is difficult to recruit and retain new volunteer responders, in no small part because volunteers are afraid they will lose their paying jobs if they miss work. This is especially so during declared emergencies when volunteers may need to miss multiple days of work at one time. Some volunteers have returned to work during an ongoing emergency because of this fear. This bill would ensure that when disaster struck, Texans would have the necessary resources available and willing to respond.

> The bill would limit the impact to businesses by applying only to emergencies declared by the governor or the president. There have been 13 major emergency proclamations made by the governor within the past five years. The bill also would limit the amount of protected time an employee could take from work.

OPPONENTS HB 1620 is not necessary because volunteer emergency responders are not SAY: being fired or suspended for missing work because they volunteer during emergencies. The bill also inappropriately would come between private businesses and their employees by prohibiting employers from taking certain actions against their employees. It would be better to allow employers to decide which absences should be excused or tolerated.