HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION b	sill digast	5/7/2011	HB 36 Menendez (CSHB 36 by Gallego)
	JIII digest	3/ 7/ 2011	(CSTIB 50 by Gallego)
SUBJECT:	Criminal and civi	l consequences for prosti	tution offenses
COMMITTEE:	Criminal Jurispru	dence — committee subs	stitute recommended
VOTE:	8 ayes — Gallego, Hartnett, Aliseda, Burkett, Carter, Christian, Y. Davis, Zedler		
	0 nays		
	1 absent — Rodri	iguez	
WITNESSES:	Criminal District Police Officers A Martinez, Tex Pro Children; James	Attorney Susan D. Reed ssociation; Dennis Mark, otects, The Texas Associa	rina Daniels, Bexar County ; Pete Elizalde, San Antonio , Redeemed Ministries; Diana ation for the Protection of Police Officer's Association;
	Against — None		
	On — Angela Go	oodwin, Attorney General	l's Office
BACKGROUND:	as a person who h in sexual conduct another person to	cnowingly offers to engage for a fee. An offender all engage together in sexual	titution, and defines an offender ge, agrees to engage, or engages so is one who publically solicits al conduct for hire. The offense in jail and/or a maximum fine of
	(up to one year in an offender as a p for an agreement	a jail and/or a maximum f person who knowingly re- to participate in the proce	itution, a class A misdemeanor ine of \$4,000). The law defines ceives money or other property eeds of prostitution, or if they conduct with a third person for
	degree felony (tw	o to 10 years in prison ar	ion of prostitution, a third- nd an optional fine of up to o knowingly owns, invests in,

HB 36 House Research Organization page 2

	finances, controls, supervises, or manages a prostitution business that employs two or more prostitutes.			
	Ch. 62 of the Code of Criminal Procedure governs the Sex Offender Registration Program. Art. 62.001(5) defines a reportable conviction or adjudication as one based on Penal Code violations such as continuous sexual abuse of a young child or children, indecency with a child, sexual assault, compelling prostitution, sexual performance by a child, and possession or promotion of child pornography.			
DIGEST:	CSHB would make a prostitution violation a class A misdemeanor if the actor had been convicted of the offense one or two times before. A prostitution violation would be a state-jail felony (180 days to two years in a state jail and an optional fine of up to \$10,000) if the actor had been convicted of the offense three or more times before. CSHB 36 would make prostitution a third-degree felony for a person who paid or solicited another person under the age of 17 at the time of the offense.			
	A promotion of prostitution violation would be a state-jail felony if the actor had been convicted of the offense before. The violation would be a third-degree felony if the person who provided prostitution services was younger than 17 at the time of the offense. The violation also would be a third-degree felony for an actor who solicited someone to engage in sexual conduct with a person under 17 years of age.			
	The bill would create an exception to whether an aggravated promotion of prostitution violation qualified as a third-degree felony. Under CSHB 36, a violation of this type would be a second-degree felony (two to 20 years in prison and an optional fine of up to \$10,000) if any prostitute who was part of the actor's prostitution business was younger than 17 at the time of the offense.			
	CSHB 36 would include offenses that involved a person under 17 years of age with other reportable convictions or adjudications. The bill would also make those offenses reportable if their elements were substantially similar to the elements of violations under other state, federal, or foreign law, or under the Uniform Code of Military Justice. The only exception would be for a violation that resulted in a deferred adjudication.			
	The bill would affect only offenses committed on or after its September 1, 2011, effective date.			

HB 36 House Research Organization page 3

NOTES: The companion bill, SB 1314 by Van de Putte, was referred to the Senate Criminal Justice Committee on March 16.