(The House considered SB 543 by Carona, the Senate companion bill, in lieu of HB 1631, the House version of the bill, which had been set on the daily calendar and was analyzed by the House Research Organization. The bill subsequently was enacted as SB 543.)

HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION b	oill digest	5/5/2009	HB 1631 Harless (CSHB 1631 by Geren)
SUBJECT:	Additional notice	requirements for possesso	ory liens on motor vehicles
COMMITTEE:	Licensing and Administrative Procedures — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	9 ayes — Kuempel, Thompson, Chisum, Geren, Gutierrez, Hamilton, Jones, Menendez, Quintanilla		eren, Gutierrez, Hamilton,
	0 nays		
WITNESSES:	For — Blake Ingram, Texas Independent Automobile Dealers Association; Betsy Price, Tax Assessor-Collector Association; (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Robert Blankenship, Texas Au LP; Jeff Martin, Texas Independent Automobile Dealers Assoc Brent Rhodes, Fiesta Motors)		llector Association; kenship, Texas Auto Center
	Against — None		
BACKGROUND:	for the benefit of the property. Uncome on a motor vehicle holder of another In situations invo a holder of a med repairs were char vehicle's last kno notice must be se must include the payment is not re	those who have supplied la der Property Code, ch. 70, a le has a superior property c type of lien on the vehicle olving unpaid repairs or imp chanic's lien who has had the ged must give written notion own registered owner and ea ent by certified mail with re amount of the charges and eceived before the 31st day	provements to a motor vehicle, ne vehicle for 30 days after the ce of the mechanic's lien to the ach lienholder on the title. The eturn receipt requested and

Criminal actors have exploited a loophole in the notice requirement to

HB 1631 House Research Organization page 2

acquire fraudulently title to a motor vehicle. The fraud involves a person or organization that falsely claims to hold a mechanic's lien and files a fraudulent "notice" containing false information (or no information at all) to the owner and lienholders of record, who often sign the return receipt without first checking to see if the notice is valid. After the expiration of the 30-day period following the mailing of the notice, the person committing the fraud will request an application for title to the motor vehicle from a tax assessor and present the fraudulently ac quired return receipt as "proof" that notice was given. Upon acquiring title, the criminal actor usually will sell the vehicle at a public auction and pocket the proceedings.

As defined by Transportation Code, ch. 501, "motor vehicle" means any motor driven or propelled vehicle required to be registered under state law.

DIGEST: CSHB 1631 would require a holder of a mechanic's possessory lien for unpaid repairs on a motor vehicle in the holder's possession to include certain additional information in the written notice required by law to the vehicle's owner and lienholders of record. The notice would have to include:

- the physical address of the real property where the holder of the mechanic's lien repaired the motor vehicle;
- the legal name of the person that holds the mechanic's lien;
- either the taxpayer identification number or employer number of the person that holds the mechanic's lien; and
- a signed copy of the work order that authorized the repairs on the motor vehicle.

In the event that a holder of a mechanic's lien gave a notice that did not contain the required information, CSHB 1631 would make a lien recorded on the motor vehicle's certificate of title superior to the mechanic's lien.

CSHB 1631 would require a holder of a mechanic's lien who gave proper notice to make commercially reasonable efforts to allow a motor vehicle's owner and each lienholder of record to inspect or arrange an inspection of the vehicle to confirm that repairs were made.

CSHB 1631 would create a new criminal offense for a person who knowingly provided false or misleading information in a notice required under the bill. An offense would be a class B misdemeanor (up to 180

HB 1631 House Research Organization page 3

	days in jail and/or a maximum fine of \$2,000).
	The new notice requirements of CSHB 1631 would not apply to a person licensed as a franchised motor vehicle dealer under Occupations Code, ch. 2301.
	CSHB 1631 would apply only to a mechanic's lien on a motor vehicle possessed by the holder on or after the bill's September 1, 2009, effective date.
NOTES:	The committee substitute specifically excluded licensed franchised motor vehicle dealers from the new notice requirements, while the original bill did not. The committee substitute would apply only to a motor vehicle, while the original bill would have applied to motorboats, vessels, and outboard motors in addition to motor vehicles.
	The companion bill, SB 543 by Carona, passed the Senate by 31-0 on April 2 on the Local and Uncontested Calendar and was reported favorably, as substituted, by the House Licensing and Administrative Procedures Committee on April 29, making it eligible to be considered in lieu of HB 1631.