SUBJECT:	Warning evicted tenants of criminal penalties for damaging property
COMMITTEE:	Business and Industry — committee substitute recommended
VOTE:	6 ayes — Deshotel, Elkins, Christian, Gattis, Giddings, S. Miller
	0 nays
	5 absent — England, Keffer, Orr, Quintanilla, S. Turner
WITNESSES:	For — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : David Mintz, Texas Apartment Association)
	Against — Robert Doggett, Texas Low Income Housing Information Service; Nelson Mock; (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Laura Leal, Victor Leal)
BACKGROUND:	Property Code, sec. 24.005 requires that a landlord give a tenant who defaults or holds over beyond the end of the rental term or renewal period at least three days' written notice to vacate the premises before the landlord files a forcible detainer suit with a justice of the peace court to evict the tenant.
DIGEST:	CSHB 3351 would amend Property Code, sec. 24.005 to require that a landlord's notice to vacate would have to include the following or substantially similar language:
	"A person who intentionally or knowingly damages or destroys the property that is subject of this notice to vacate commits a crime and may be subject to a fine, imprisonment, or both a fine and imprisonment. Depending on the amount of loss incurred by the owner of the property, the crime may be a misdemeanor or a felony."
	The notice requirement would apply to any notices posted on or after September 1, 2009, when the bill would take effect.
SUPPORTERS SAY:	CSHB 3351 would provide explicit and fair warning of the consequences of damaging or destroying an apartment or house before being evicted.

HB 3551 House Research Organization page 2

	The legal process required for the eviction process creates up to a 10-day delay between tenant delinquency notification and removal from the premises. The bill would help lower the temptation to exact retribution by damaging a rental property before vacating.
	The bill would not add new sanctions and would simply warn tenants of punishment already provided by state law.
OPPONENTS SAY:	A person that would irresponsibly destroy another's property would not be deterred by a warning notice on a piece of paper. If the tenants being evicted lack the money to pay rent, they also would lack the money to pay fines or restitution for damages.
NOTES:	The original bill would have reduced the notice period that a landlord would have had to give a tenant delinquent on the rent from three days to one day before filing a forcible detainer suit with a justice of the peace court to evict the tenant.