HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION b	oill analysis	5/7/2007	HB 2827 Taylor, et al. (CSHB 2827 by Delisi)
SUBJECT:	Requiring anaphylaxis treatment provided by emergency medical services		
COMMITTEE:	Public Health — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	7 ayes — Delisi, Laubenberg, Cohen, Coleman, Gonzales, Olivo, Truitt		
	1 present not voti	ng — Jackson	
	1 absent — S. Kin	ng	
WITNESSES:	For — Greg Herz	og, Texas Medical Associa	tion; Francey Westinghouse
	Against — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : GK Sprinkle, Texas Ambulance Association)		
	On — Maxie Bisl	hop, Department of State H	ealth Services
BACKGROUND:	and Safety Code, personnel to carry treat anaphylaxis, the epinephrine is	sec. 773.014 allows emerge and administer an epineph a severe allergic reaction, of certified as an emergency	e allergic reactions. Health ency medical services (EMS) trine auto-injector device to only if person administering medical technician or higher urse on the use of that device.
DIGEST:	sets minimum sta to be equipped wi anaphylaxis. EMS	ndards for EMS personnel,	
	limit the administ personnel certifie being allowed to a personnel would b (DSHS)-approved	ration of epinephrine auto- d as emergency medical tec administer an epinephrine a have to complete a Departm	chnicians or higher. Before auto-injector device, EMS nent of State Health Services of the device consistent with

HB 2827 House Research Organization page 2

	CSHB 2827 also would vest a medical director for an EMS system with the ability to limit who could administer epinephrine. Qualified personnel could be limited only through a delegated practice agreement or the adoption of policies governing the use of the anaphylaxis devices by personnel.		
	The bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2007.		
SUPPORTERS SAY:	CSHB 2827 would save lives by helping to ensure that EMS units had the tools and qualified personnel to effectively treat people experiencing severe allergic reactions. Under current law, EMS vehicles are not required to carry epinephrine auto-injectors, and only personnel certified as emergency medical technicians or higher may administer such devices. This decreases the likelihood of the proper tools and trained personnel being available during the critical period when a person in anaphylactic shock desperately needs treatment.		
	By no longer restricting the ability to administer epinephrine injections to emergency medical technicians and more highly qualified EMS personnel, the bill would be especially helpful in jurisdictions where emergency medical technicians are scarce. In addition, CSHB 2827 still would require that EMS personnel receive proper, DSHS-approved training to use the device. The bill would enable this critical and relatively inexpensive tool to be available and of use to EMS personnel and the patients who depend on their life-saving interventions.		
OPPONENTS SAY:	While the bill is intended to save lives, it actually could place people in danger by reducing the certification requirements for EMS personnel who could administer epinephrine injections. An epinephrine shot under the wrong circumstances could be fatal, and this duty should be performed only by certified emergency medical technicians or more highly trained personnel.		
	In addition, CSHB 2827 would require ordinary EMS personnel to perform additional duties that require more training. EMS services already are short on funds, and the unfunded requirements of this bill only would make the situation worse.		

HB 2827 House Research Organization page 3

NOTES: The substitute differs from the original in that the substitute that would authorize a medical director for an EMS system to restrict the use and administration of epinephrine auto-injector devices.