| HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION t | oill analysis | 5/2/2005 | HB 1235 Paxton (CSHB 1235 by Solomons) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| SUBJECT: | Information required on the notice for sale of property under a contract lien | | |
| COMMITTEE: | Financial Institutions —committee substitute recommended | | |
| VOTE: | 6 ayes — Solomons, McCall, Flynn, Guillen, Orr, Riddle | | |
| | 0 nays | | |
| | 1 absent — Chavez | Z | |
| WITNESSES: | For — Tommy Bastian, Barrett Burke Wilson Castle Daffin and Frappier, LLP; Robert Doggett, Texas Low Income Housing Info Service | | |
| | Against — None | | |
| BACKGROUND: | mortgage servicer to the lender. A mortg mortgagor) has been payments for the de | o administer a forecle age servicer is the las n instructed by the ler bt secured by a contra- r is considered the me | by the 78th Legislature, allows a osure on real property on behalf of at person to whom a borrower (the nder (the mortgagee) to send act lien on an interest in real ortgage servicer if no other |
| | or other contract lie courthouse. The mo | n must be a public sa | sale conferred by a deed of trust le at an auction at the county serve the borrower a notice of the ale. |
| DIGEST: | CSHB 1235 would remove the requirement that only the mortgage servicer must serve the written notice of the sale of real property under a power of sale conferred by a deed of trust or other contract lien. | | |
| | the mortgagee or th | e address of the mort | sclose the name and address of gage servicer if there was an r the authority to service the |
| | | • | 2005, and would apply to notices coperty under a contract lien |

HB 1235 House Research Organization page 2

related to security instruments and other contracts executed on or after that date.

SUPPORTERS SAY: CSHB 1235 would align statutory law with current practice to more efficiently administer the foreclosure process while maintaining the same services currently provided as protection to borrowers. In most cases, an attorney or other agent of the mortgage servicer serves notice of a foreclosure sale, yet the statute is not clear that another entity or individual may perform this function on behalf of the mortgage servicer. Removing the restriction that only the mortgage servicer may serve notice of a sale of foreclosure would clarify that these other entities familiar with the legal process associated with foreclosure could serve the notice on the servicer's behalf. This in no way would interfere with the borrower's receipt of the notice or the information that the notice contains.

> CSHB 1235 would allow for flexibility in choosing the entity for which contact information was provided to the borrower. In reality, the mortgage servicer often is far more knowledgeable of the terms of the contract than the mortgagee, so it would be more appropriate to provide the address for the servicer should there be need for the borrower to communicate regarding the foreclosure. The name of the mortgagee would remain on the notice regardless of which address was provided, so the borrower still would know who ultimately held responsibility for the property.

OPPONENTS No apparent opposition. SAY:

NOTES: The original bill would have required the notice to include the name and address of the mortgage servicer while the committee substitute would allow for the provision of the name and address of the mortgagee or the address of the mortgage servicer.

HB 1235 House Research Organization page 3

The companion bill, SB 1155 by Harris, has been referred to the Senate Business and Commerce Committee.