HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION bill analysisHB 5 8/5/2003Swinford		
SUBJECT:	Appointing members of the Texas Parks and Wildlife Commission	
COMMITTEE:	Government Reform — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	4 ayes — Swinford, Allen, Casteel, R. Cook	
	0 nays	
	3 absent — Gallego, Callegari, T. Smith	
WITNESSES:	No public hearing	
BACKGROUND:	The Texas Parks and Wildlife Commission has nine members appointed the governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. Parks and Wild Code, sec. 11. 012(d) directs the governor to attempt to include people expertise in diverse fields, including historic preservation, conservation outdoor recreation.	dlife with
DIGEST:	HB 5 would require the governor to consider geographical representation the appropriate balance between urban and rural representation in apport members of the Parks and Wildlife Commission. The governor also co- appoint members with an interest in and knowledge of hunting, fishing wildlife, environmental concerns, land or water use issues, or water qui issues.	ointing uld g,
	As soon as possible after December 1, 2003, the governor would have appoint nine members to the commission. The position of a member set on the commission immediately before December 1 would be abolishe five or more newly appointed members qualified for office. The gover could reappoint an existing commissioner. The newly appointed member terms would expire in 2005, 2007, or 2009, with the governor designate three members whose terms would expire in these years.	erving d when nor pers'
	The bill would take effect December 1, 2003.	
SUPPORTERS SAY:	HB 5 would help improve representation of hunting and fishing interest the Parks and Wildlife Commission. Because the Texas Parks and Wildlife	

HB 5 House Research Organization page 2

Department is funded primarily by fees, hunters and anglers are the agency's largest source of revenue, generating about \$52 million per year. Despite paying a hefty share of the agency's budget, these constituent groups have seen many issues important to them fall by the wayside or receive lower priorities than other efforts undertaken by the agency. For example, an initiative important to hunters, finding a way to resurrect the bobwhite quail population in Texas, has languished while the agency has established elaborate bird-watching facilities in South Texas. HB 5 would direct the governor to take into account the interests of the agency's primary constituent groups when appointing members to the commission.

The commission continues to make parks a higher priority than hunting and fishing. Wealthy ranch owners interested in wildlife may serve on the commission, but issues important to the thousands of regular hunters or fishermen across Texas have not received adequate attention. For example, an initiative to examine the future of hunting in Texas has yet to get off the ground, while the commission continues to emphasize parks.

OPPONENTSHB 5 is unnecessary. The commission's current composition is well-balanced
and provides fair representation of interests in parks, hunting, and fishing.
Current law does not prohibit the governor from appointing representatives of
hunting and fishing interests to the commission. In fact, most of the current
commission members are ranch owners with substantial interests in wildlife
issues.

Revenue from sales of hunting and fishing licenses cannot be spent on parks but is dedicated to hunting and fishing-related programs, such as law enforcement, wildlife biology, or fish hatcheries. Moreover, comparing the quail initiative to the World Birding Center is misleading. Local interests see the birding center as an economic development tool, and the Legislature has appropriated more funding to develop it, whereas the agency has had to fund the quail initiative from its wildlife biology budget. Also, the quail initiative is a research and outreach program. Increasing the quail population would require habitat restoration on private lands and increased rainfall.

HB 5 House Research Organization page 3

NOTES: HB 5 is identical to HB 60 by Allen, first called session, except that HB 5 would take effect on December 1, 2003. HB 60 passed the House on July 10 by 106-37, but died in the Senate.