HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis	5/12/2003	HB 3240 Hilderbran
SUBJECT:	Family members filing for court-ordered chemical dependency treatment		
COMMITTEE:	Public Health — favorable, without amendment		
VOTE:	7 ayes — Capelo, Laubenberg, Dawson, McReynolds, Taylor, Truitt, Zedle		ruitt, Zedler
	1 nay — Naishtat		
	1 absent — Coleman		
WITNESSES:	For — None		
	Against — None		
	On — Lisa Hernandez, Texas Commission on Alcohol and Drug Abuse		
BACKGROUND:	Health and Safety Code, ch. 462, subch. D governs court-ordered chemical dependency treatment for adults. Any adult may file an application for court-ordered treatment of another person if the application is accompanied by a certificate of medical examination for chemical dependency. Only a district of county attorney may file an application without this certificate. Before the court may order treatment, it must have on file two certificates of medical examined the patient within 30 days prior to the final hearing.		on for court- nied by a y a district or efore the medical
	Government Code, ch. 573, subch. B defines relationships by consanguinity, affinity, and degrees of relationship. The first degree of consanguinity and affinity includes parents, spouses and their parents, and children and their spouses. The second degree includes grandparents, grandchildren, and siblings, of the person and of the person's spouse, plus spouses of the person's siblings.		
DIGEST:	dependency treatment examination. It would	t a family member to file an application for for another person without a certificate of only apply to family members related to a ree by affinity or consanguinity.	medical

HB 3240 House Research Organization page 2

	The bill would take effect September 1, 2003.
SUPPORTERS SAY:	Families should be able to help their loved ones who have chemical dependency problems. Current law requires them either to wait until the family member gets into trouble with authorities or to attempt to have the family member evaluated by a doctor. Chemical dependency is a difficult situation for families to handle, and the state should make it easier for families to get help.
	The bill would not permit families to check their relatives in for treatment inappropriately. The requirement of two certificates of medical examination still would apply before the person was ordered to treatment. This bill simply would help families get their loved ones evaluated without waiting until they got into trouble.
	Only close relatives, such as children, parents, grandparents, or siblings could apply for court-ordered treatment without certificates of medical examination. Aunts, uncles, cousins, and the like would not be able to do this.
OPPONENTS SAY:	Under current law, family members, or any adult for that matter, can apply for emergency detention of another adult, so families already are capable of getting their loved ones help. The emergency detention process is well established and works to ensure that people are treated appropriately and that family members cannot threaten treatment inappropriately. Additionally, it is a better option for families and patients because it assures that the patient receives immediate intervention treatment.
	Cases of divorce or child custody can become very ugly and adults might make untrue allegations about one another. The emergency detention process clearly states that a person who would be ordered for treatment must be a risk to himself or others, which must be substantiated by descriptions from two separate physicians of specific behavior, acts, attempts, or threats. While this requirement does not prevent an angry spouse from lying, it can prevent baseless allegations. This bill would not require any such corroboration of information provided by family members.