ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 4/23/2003	Luna
SUBJECT:	Requiring Texas Water Development Board to study seawater desalination	
COMMITTEE:	Natural Resources — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	8 ayes — Puente, Callegari, Hope, Campbell, R. Cook, Geren, Hamilton, Hardcastle	
	0 nays	
	1 absent — Wolens	
WITNESSES:	For — None	
	Against — None	
	On — Leonard Olson, Texas Water I	Development Board
DIGEST:	study the development of cost-effective seawater and to pursue federal fundimentational fundimentations and the seawater and	Vater Development Board (TWDB) to tive water supplies from desalination of ng for desalination projects in Texas. al progress report on the implementation he report would have to include:
	 an evaluation of research, regulation to seawater desalination project an evaluation of the state's role desalination projects; and 	le in developing large-scale seawater le appropriation necessary to continue
	The bill would take immediate effect vote of the membership of each hous September 1, 2003.	t if finally passed by a two-thirds record se. Otherwise, it would take effect
SUPPORTERS SAY:	HB 1370 would require TWDB to stu providing Texas with a drought-proo	cudy a promising new approach to of water supply to help meet future water

HB 1370 House Research Organization page 2

needs. The State Water Plan indicates that Texas' total demand for water will increase by 18 percent over the next 50 years, while available supplies will fall by 19 percent. The plan recommends desalination to help bridge the gap. Planning for several significant desalination projects already is underway, including a groundwater desalination plant in El Paso. Gov. Perry also has called for increasing Texas' water supplies through desalination.

The bill could jump-start efforts to make desalinated seawater a viable part of a stable water supply for communities along the coast or elsewhere. A number of desalination technologies already have been developed. A large reverse-osmosis seawater desalination project in Tampa, Fla., is expected to produce desalinated water at a cost of about \$2 per 1,000 gallons. Although the cost of seawater desalination is decreasing, more research is needed to make the technology a cost-effective means of increasing water supply.

HB 1370 would not detract from water conservation efforts. Meeting Texas' future water demand will require both increasing water supply and curbing usage through conservation. Moreover, seawater desalination can alleviate the need to increase water supply through environmentally harmful measures, such as building expensive new dams or reservoirs.

OPPONENTS Although seawater desalination could help to increase Texas' water supply, SAY: Although seawater desalination could help to increase Texas' water supply, the state should not be distracted from pursuing other measures to meet water needs, such as increased water conservation. Also, any study of seawater desalination should address potential environmental concerns, such as the impact on water quality of the disposal of brine concentrate or the energy source used to fuel the desalination process.

NOTES: The bill's fiscal note indicates a general revenue cost of \$15,450 in fiscal 2004. TWDB estimates that this cost could be absorbed within the agency's existing resources.

The companion bill, SB 743 by Lucio, was reported favorably as substituted by the Senate Natural Resources Committee on April 14 and recommended for the Local and Uncontested Calendar. CSSB 743 is identical to HB 1370. The House Natural Resources Committee originally recommended sending

HB 1370 House Research Organization page 3

HB 1370 to the Local and Consent Calendars Committee, which transferred the bill to the Calendars Committee.