ORGANIZATION bill analysis 5/12/2003

HB 1292 B. Brown

SUBJECT: Approval of budgets for projects funded with municipal hotel tax

COMMITTEE: Local Government Ways and Means — favorable, without amendment

VOTE: 6 ayes — Hill, Hegar, Laubenberg, McReynolds, Mowery, Quintanilla

0 nays

1 absent — Puente

WITNESSES: None

BACKGROUND: Tax Code, sec. 351.101 governs use of municipal hotel occupancy tax

revenue. Proceeds from this tax may be used only to promote tourism and the convention and hotel industry. The governing body of a municipality may contract with a person to manage activities funded by the hotel tax. The governing body must approve in writing the annual budget of the person who performs those functions and must require the person to report expenditures from the tax revenue at least quarterly. The governing body's approval of the person's budget creates a fiduciary responsibility for the person in regard to

the tax revenue.

DIGEST: HB 1292 would specify that a municipal governing body may contract with

one or more people to manage activities funded with hotel tax revenue. Other requirements relating to "one person" with whom the municipality contracts

would relate to all people.

The bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record

vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect

September 1, 2003.

SUPPORTERS

SAY:

HB 1292 would contribute to fiscal responsibility and transparency by closing a loophole that allows municipalities to avoid approving the budget and

expenditures of hotel tax projects that are contracted to more than one entity. Many municipalities already delegate management of these projects to several entities, and HB 1292 would reflect this practice in the law. Most importantly,

the bill would specify that a municipality must approve the budget and

HB 1292 House Research Organization page 2

monitor the expenditures of each agent managing projects funded with the tax revenue, a necessary measure that can be avoided under the ambiguity of current law.

OPPONENTS SAY:

No apparent opposition.