HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 5/18/2001	SB 749 Shapleigh, Lucio (Haggerty)
SUBJECT:	Authorizing TNRCC to take and finance actions in Mexico	
COMMITTEE:	Environmental Regulation — favorable, without amendmen	t
VOTE:	6 ayes — Chisum, Kuempel, Uher, Dukes, Geren, Zbranek	
	1 nays — Howard	
	2 absent — Bonnen, Bosse	
SENATE VOTE:	On final passage, April 18 — 29-1 (Nelson)	
WITNESSES:	None	
BACKGROUND:	Under current law, the Texas Natural Resource Conservation (TNRCC) has no authority to participate in environmental possible.	
DIGEST:	SB 749 would permit TNRCC to finance and participate in Mexico, in cooperation with Mexican governmental author considered it necessary or convenient to accomplish one of and would benefit the Texas environment.	ities, if TNRCC
	The bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would September 1, 2001.	
SUPPORTERS SAY:	SB 749 would allow TNRCC to better protect the Texas be Actions taken in Mexico, including chemical dumping, poll Grande, and emissions of air pollutants, have an impact on in Texas. El Paso, for example, shares an airshed with Juare of Mexico. The burning of tires and garbage in factory furn has a direct impact on air quality in El Paso. Visibility and Bend National Park also are affected negatively by industri plants in Piedras Negras, Mexico.	ution of the Rio the environment ez and other parts aces in Mexico air quality in Big

## SB 749 House Research Organization page 2

The bill would contain an appropriate limitation on TNRCC participation. TNRCC only could participate in a project if it found it necessary or convenient to accomplish one of TNRCC's duties and if it would benefit the environment in this state.

OPPONENTS SAY: Texas should not be spending its tax dollars on projects in Mexico. Funding start-up projects could lead to a continued financial commitment for Texas, either through increased support for these projects or future assistance in implementing new technology. Also, no guarantee exists that these projects would continue; Texas could fund installation of pollution control technology only to find that the affected entities had stopped operations, or had failed to maintain that technology.