			HB 2925 J. Jones, Hodge (CSHB 2925 by J. Jones)
SUBJECT:	Allowing use of voter registration application receipt for voting		
COMMITTEE:	Elections — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	7 ayes — Danburg, J. Jones, Denny, Hodge, Madden, Sadler, Truitt		
	0 nays		
	2 absent — Gallego, Wilson		
WITNESSES:	For — Kristeen Roe, Texas Assessor-Collectors Association of Texas; <i>Registered but did not testify</i> : James Gaston, Texas Democratic Party; Gerald "Buddy" Winn, Tax Assessor-Collectors Association of Texas; Suzy Woodford, Common Cause of Texas		
	Against — None		
	On — Ann McGeehan, Texas	Secretary of State; Darryl	Pool, Republican Party of
BACKGROUND:	Election Code, ch. 13.040 requires a volunteer deputy registrar, on rece of a completed registration application, to prepare a receipt in duplicate. deputy registrar must give the original copy of the receipt to the applican and submit the duplicate copy along with the application to the voter registrar. The receipt must include the applicant's name and the date the completed application is submitted. Sec. 63 governs the regular procedur for allowing a voter to vote.		e a receipt in duplicate. The e receipt to the applicant lication to the voter name and the date the
DIGEST:	CSHB 2925 would amend sec. 13.040 to require a volunteer deputy registrar to prepare a voter application receipt in triplicate. The receipt would have to include the date, and the applicant's name and complete address. The receipt also would have to state that it was an official receipt of an application to register to vote and was usable in an election that occurred at least 30 days after the application to register was completed. The volunteer deputy would have to keep one copy of the receipt and deliver the remaining copy to the voter registrar along with the application.		

HB 2925 House Research Organization page 2

When a voter who was *not* on the list of registered voters offered to vote and presented such a receipt, the voter would have to be allowed to vote if: Į. the application was made at least 30 days but not more than 90 days before the election; the address on the receipt indicated that the voter was a resident of the precinct in which he or she was entitled to vote; Į. the voter completed a voter registration application at that time; and the election judge reviewed the voter registration application İ. CSHB 2925 would allow a voter to vote if he or she presented a receipt when offering to vote, and the name was on the list of registered voters, and: İ. the application was made at least 30 days but not more than 90 days before the date of the election; and the address on the receipt indicated that the voter was a resident of the precinct in which he or she was entitled to vote The bill would take effect September 1, 2001. **SUPPORTERS** CSHB 2925 is needed to ensure that people who have registered to vote are not turned away at the polls because they have not yet received their official voter registration certificate. The receipt only would be valid for voting purposes for 90 days. In some cases, an eligible voter may not have received his or her voter registration card by the time of the election day. Sometimes volunteer deputy registrars, when helping to "get out the vote," will register people to vote but then fail to submit the completed applications to the voter registrar. Because of the inexperience, haste or oversight of the volunteer deputy registrar,

SAY:

voters who assumed they were registered and eligible to vote were, in fact, not. In the past, voters who had not received their official voter registration certificates but who had their application receipts might have been allowed to vote with only their receipts, but some were not. Their only recourse has been to vote as a challenged voter.

As a challenged voter, a person must execute an affidavit and sign a sworn statement. This means that the eligibility of the person has been "challenged"

HB 2925 House Research Organization page 3

	by an election officer. However, this procedure can be intimidating to some, and it is a time-consuming process. Since a receipt currently is not considered to be an official document, it basically is up to the presiding judge at the precinct.	
	It would be impractical to require election workers to call the voter registrar to determine if a voter who presented an application receipt and was not on the list of registered voters was otherwise eligible to vote. Not all precincts have telephones, and such a requirement would be difficult for some precincts to comply with. If the voter were not on the registered voter list, under this bill, they could fill out another registration application and have the judge review it for eligibility. If there was a discrepancy regarding a voter's eligibility, the judge could deal with it on the spot.	
OPPONENTS SAY:	CSHB 2925 is not needed because any voter who does not have their voter registration certificate can always vote as a challenged voter.	
OTHER OPPONENTS SAY:	An instance could arise where a ineligible person who was rejected for a voter registration certificate could be allowed to vote with only an application receipt. The bill also should require an election worker who was presented with an application receipt by a voter to call the voter registrar to determine if that voter were otherwise eligible to vote. Voter registrars are available all day on election day for poll workers who need additional assistance.	
NOTES:	The committee substitute modified the original bill by adding that a voter who presents with a receipt only is required to be able to vote if the application were made not more than 90 days before the election. The substitute also added that a voter who presented a receipt and whose name was not on a list of registered voters would be able to vote if the voter completed a voter registration application at the polling place and the election judge reviewed it.	