HOUSE HB 762 RESEARCH Averitt ORGANIZATION bill analysis 4/24/97 (CSHB 762 by Kamel)		
SUBJECT:	Prohibiting the sale of term paper	rs to students
COMMITTEE:	Higher Education — committee s	substitute recommended
VOTE:	7 ayes — Rangel, Solis, Cuellar,	Dunnam, Kamel, Rabuck, E. Reyna
	0 nays	
	2 absent — Bailey, Rodriguez	
WITNESSES:	For — Carol McDonald, Indepen	dent Colleges and Universities of Texas
	Against — None	
DIGEST:	deceptive preparation and market product would be defined as a ter recording, work of art, or other w	n the Penal Code a new offense of ing of academic product. Academic rm paper, thesis, dissertation, essay, report, written, recorded, pictorial, or artistic intended to be submitted to satisfy an ution of higher education.
	product to a person who intended requirement or to make or dissem cause to be prepared an academic	, sell, advertise, or deliver an academic to use it to satisfy an academic ninate a statement offering to prepare or product for another person. The offense r, punishable by a maximum penalty of 180
	action consisted of providing inst assistance to the person preparing assistance did not include substar	nse to prosecution for the offense if the cruction, counseling, tutoring or editing g an academic product, so long as the ntial preparation, research, or writing, or if g or reproducing a manuscript for a fee or
	CSHB 762 would take effect Sep	tember 1, 1997.

HB 762 House Research Organization page 2

SUPPORTERS SAY:	CSHB 762 would address a problem that has been rapidly increasing in severity — black market traffic in term papers. Those who prepare these term papers peddle them to students, often posting notices on campus, in periodicals and, most recently, on the Internet. Their numbers have increased greatly as use of the Internet increases, especially among college students. As a result, the incidence of this academic cheating has soared.	
	The intellectual dishonesty of this enterprise presents a serious danger to colleges and universities, whose ultimate goal is the cultivation of knowledge. CSHB 762 would be an important first step in checking the growth of this disturbing trend by providing a valuable tool enabling prosecution for offenses and discouraging continued solicitation of students.	
	Although schools can penalize individual students for academic dishonesty, they have no recourse for dealing with those individuals who sell students the term papers. It is imperative to provide a means for deterring not only students who submit these materials but more importantly those who provide them.	
OPPONENTS SAY:	CSHB 762 aims to address a problem that has no place in the Penal Code. Most crimes have an identifiable victim, whether individuals or their property. In this case, however, no such victim is identifiable. Although the sale of term papers to students is indefensible, dishonesty is not and never has been a crime. Schools have authority to discipline individual students when such cases arise, and should be zealous in using their authority over students instead of relying on the state to take legal action against others.	
	The Internet's increasing popularity has brought with it a number of problems, and the sale of term papers is only one of them. The proper response to such problems, however, is not criminalization and censorship. CSHB 762 would deal too harshly with a problem that is best addressed by other means.	
OTHER OPPONENTS SAY:	Enforcement of CSHB 762 would be exceedingly difficult. Especially for those using electronic media, where content is difficult to control and changes regularly, the provisions of the bill would seem an empty threat.	
NOTES:	The committee substitute defined terms within the bill.	

HB 762 House Research Organization page 3