ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 3/17/97	Dunnam
SUBJECT:	Waiving jury trial without attorney's advice in misdemeanor	cases
COMMITTEE:	Criminal Jurisprudence — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	6 ayes — Place, Talton, Dunnam, Galloway, Hinojosa, Keel,	
	0 nays	
	3 absent— Farrar, Nixon, A. Reyna	
WITNESSES:	For — Judge David Hodges; Sherri Wallace, Dallas County ODistrict Attorney's office	Criminal
	Against — None	
	On — W.C. Kirkendall, Texas District & County Attorneys A	Association
BACKGROUND :	Article 1.13(c) of the Code of Criminal Procedure requires the be represented by an attorney before a court can grant a requestrial by jury.	
DIGEST:	HB 515 would allow defendants in misdemeanor cases to wa without being represented by an attorney.	ive a jury trial
	The bill would take effect September 1, 1997, and apply only offenses committed on or after the effective date.	to trials for
SUPPORTERS SAY:	HB 515 would clarify some confusion about current law. The that a defendant be represented by an attorney before waiving was intended to apply only to felony cases, but has been inter to misdemeanor cases as well. This interpretation clearly con- another provision in the Code of Criminal Procedure that spe <i>either</i> defendants in misdemeanor case <i>or</i> their attorneys to w trial. Under this provision, many courts have not appointed a allowing defendants in misdemeanor cases to waive their righ These conflicting interpretations pose a real danger that thous convictions could be rendered void because defendants in mi cases waived jury trials without representation.	g a jury trial preted to apply iflicts with cifically allows aive a jury attorneys before at to a jury trial. sands of

HB 515 House Research Organization page 2

This bill does not raise constitutional issues; the law has never required that each and every defendant accused of a misdemeanor be represented by an attorney before waiving a jury trial. HB 515 would save taxpayers the considerable expense of paying for court-appointed attorneys in every misdemeanor case.

- OPPONENTS SAY: Our judicial system guarantees a right to due process. Regardless of whether a charge involves a misdemeanor or felony, defendants may be confused by the legal process and jeopardize their rights by agreeing to something they do not understand. Waiving a jury trial without adequate counsel can have serious consequences — conviction of a class A misdemeanor can mean as much as a year in jail and a \$4,000 fine. The courts should at least be allowed some discretion in deciding whether defendants in misdemeanor cases are competent to waive a trial by jury without legal advice.
- NOTES: The companion bill, SB 261 by Sibley, was reported favorably without amendment from the Senate Jurisprudence Committee on February 18.