HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis	4/23/97	HB 1743 Torres (CSHB 1743 by Torres)	
SUBJECT:	Repealing limitation	ons to selling natural gas	outside Texas	
COMMITTEE:	Energy Resources — committee substitute recommended			
VOTE:	6 ayes — Holzheauser, Davis, Driver, Merritt, Moffat, Torres			
	0 nays			
	3 absent — Hawley, Smithee, Wilson			
WITNESSES:	S: For — Rob Looney, Texas Mid-Continent Oil & Gas Association			
	Against — None			
	On — Mary Ross McDonald, Texas Railroad Commission			
BACKGROUND :	enacted a law (nov Resources Code) the not be sold outside notice and hearing hospital, nursing h	v secs. 52.291-52.294 an hat natural gas or casingle of Texas unless the Rai that no person, agency,	head gas from public lands could lroad Commission found after entity, private or public school, , county, municipality, or	
	boards to execute a custody unless the hearing procedure. physical waste or v	an oil, gas or mineral lea lease contained a provis	n public officials, agencies and se on public land under their ion requiring this notice and grant exceptions in cases of inreasonably denied an	
DIGEST:	HB 1743 would repeal the Natural Resource Code requirements for natural gas sales from public lands.			
	gas lease executed	e effect September 1, 19 before the effective date d be null and void.	97, and the terms of any oil and imposing the repealed	

## HB 1743 House Research Organization page 2

SUPPORTERS SAY:	HB 1743 would remove an unnecessary regulatory burden on the interstate sale of Texas natural gas. Currently natural gas is abundant in Texas; requiring producers of state-leased lands to market within the state is inefficient and may mean that they have to sell gas at below-market prices, thereby reducing the royalty paid to the state.		
	The deregulated natural gas market relies on the free transfer of gas in interstate commerce to ensure that gas is available when and where needed. The regulatory burdens Texas now imposes on interstate commerce in gas may very well be unconstitutional.		
	Under current law, a provision must be included in leases giving certain entities first priority over natural gas produced on state-leased lands. HB 1743 would simply render any clause ineffective that imposed this burdensome requirement. The bill would raise no constitutional problem by nullifying terms of past contracts with the state; it would not repeal an entire contract, simply a state-mandated contract term, and both parties to the contract would benefit.		
OPPONENTS SAY:	No apparent opposition.		
NOTES:	The committee substitute would void the terms of any oil and gas lease executed before September 1, 1997, that imposed the 1975 restrictions on interstate sale of natural gas.		
	The companion bill, SB 1487 by Bivins, passed the Senate on April 18 and was reported favorably by the House Energy Resources Committee on April 22, making it eligible to be considered in lieu of HB 1743.		