HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 5/23/95	SB 392 Turner (Stiles)
SUBJECT:	Allowing longevity pay for certain Texas Youth Commission emp	loyees
COMMITTEE:	Appropriations — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	19 ayes — Junell, Delisi, Carona, Coleman, Cook, H. Cuellar, R. Gallego, Glaze, Gray, Greenberg, Heflin, Johnson, Kubiak, McDor Ogden, Park, Swinford, S. Turner	
	0 nays	
	8 absent — Clemons, Conley, Davis, Haggerty, Harris, Hernandez Mowery, Raymond	,
SENATE VOTE:	On final passage, May 4 — voice vote	
WITNESSES:	For — None	
	Against — None	
	On — Neil Nichols, Texas Youth Commission	
BACKGROUND:	Certain state employees who have performed hazardous duty servinincluding Texas Youth Commission (TYC) employees who have redirect contact with youth may receive hazardous duty pay of \$7 performed for each year of service, not exceeding 30 years of service.	outine
	TYC employees who perform hazardous duty service are not autor entitled to receive hazardous duty pay; they receive the pay only v funds are available to pay for it.	
	State law does not allow time to be accrued for longevity purpose an employee is in a hazardous duty position.	s while
DIGEST:	SB 392 would provide that Texas Youth Commission employees we eligible to receive hazardous duty pay would be entitled to receive longevity pay for time accrued in a hazardous duty position only of periods when they are not receiving the full amount of hazardous	luring

SB 392 House Research Organization page 2

SUPPORTERS SAY: SB 392 is needed to clarify existing law. TYC employees who receive hazardous duty pay should receive longevity pay for those periods of time accrued in a hazardous duty position when they are not receiving their full amount of hazardous duty pay. They should not have to forgo their longevity pay entitlement just because funds are not available for hazardous duty pay.

OPPONENTS No apparent opposition SAY: