HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION bill analysis 5/23/95		SB 1344 Gallegos (Raymond)	
SUBJECT:	Exempting attorney general costs from competitive bidding		
COMMITTEE:	State Affairs — favorable, without amendment		
VOTE:	12 ayes — Seidlits, S. Turner, Black, Bosse, Carter, Danburg, Hochberg, B. Hunter. D. Jones. McCall, Wolens	<u> </u>	
	0 nays		
	3 absent — Alvarado, Craddick, Ramsay		
SENATE VOTE:	On final passage, April 26 — 31-0		
WITNESSES:	For — None		
	Against — None		
	On — Jerry Benedict, Attorney General's Office		
DIGEST:	SB 1344 would exempt from General Services Commission con- bidding requirements supplies, materials, services or equipment with any suit, action or proceeding brought or defended by the general.	rvices or equipment associated or defended by the attorney	
	The bill would take effect September 1, 1995.		
SUPPORTERS SAY:	SB 1344 would allow the Attorney General's Office to do its w timely manner and save the taxpayers money. Nearly all of the associated with the attorney general bringing or defending a su the court. The only service that could be bid are court reporter generally, an unworkable situation.	e costs it are set by	
	Court reporters are needed on short notice and often there is or reporting service when the venue is a small town. The Attorne Office has never solicited bids for court reporters, and the prob come up only recently because the comptroller is placing all the services on one voucher.	ey General's lem has	

SB 1344 House Research Organization page 2

OPPONENTS No apparent opposition. SAY: