ORGANIZATION bill analysis		5/8/95	(CSHB 2944 by Dukes)
SUBJECT:	Prohibiting TNRCC landfill fees for certain solid waste		
COMMITTEE:	Environmental Regulation — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	5 ayes — Dukes, Howard, Kuempel, Stiles, Yost		
	0 nays		
	4 absent — Chisum, J	ackson, Saunders, Ta	alton
WITNESSES:	For — Robert A. Mill	er, Waste Manageme	ent of Texas
	Against — None		
BACKGROUND:	solid waste disposal ar	nd transportation fee e. The fee is \$1.25	Commission (TNRCC) charges a ("tipping fees") on solid waste per ton received for disposal, if
DIGEST:	prohibit the Texas Nat	ural Resource Conse	ety Code, sec. 361.013, to ervation Commission (TNRCC) ste fee in certain circumstances.
	public entity's effort to community from the e structures that were co	p protect the public l ffects of a natural or ntributing to drug tr to a city, county or	or solid waste resulting from a health and safety of the r man-made disaster or from rafficking or other crimes, as long r political subdivision the cost of
SUPPORTERS SAY:	Landfill facilities that refrain from charging tipping fees, for the greater good of the communities in which they are located should not be charged by the TNRCC for the waste they have disposed of for free.		
	would benefit from thi much more inclined to	s bill, since solid wa dispose of waste fo ct the health and safe	clean up their communities aste facility operators would be or free if it resulted from a public fety of a community or resulted ter.

HB 2944 House Research Organization page 2

The City of Tyler recently destroyed a number of abandoned houses where crack cocaine was sold and that were a blight on the neighborhood in which they were located. The local landfill agreed to dispose of the waste for free (no tipping fees were charged), but afterward they were charged almost \$600 in solid waste disposal fees for the waste by the TNRCC. Landfill operators that donate their time and money to dispose of waste for free for the good of their communities should not be penalized for it.

TNRCC would lose only a negligible amount of revenue from the lost solid waste disposal fees, since there is little revenue (and not a lot of waste) generated from the specific activities laid out in the bill. The fiscal note determined that the bill would have no significant fiscal implication for the state.

OPPONENTS No apparent opposition. SAY:

NOTES: The committee substitute made no substantial changes to the original version of the bill.

The companion bill, SB 376 by Ellis, was placed on the Senate Local and Uncontested Calendar for May 8.