

# Confidentiality of deliberations of State Commission on Judicial Conduct

SB 2325 by Hinojosa (Madden)

[Return to  
Table of  
Contents](#)

---

**DIGEST:** SB 2325 would have made confidential and privileged the discussions, thought processes, and individual votes of members of the State Commission on Judicial Conduct and its special counsel and employees. SB 2325 would also have made the identity of a confidential complainant or informant confidential and would have established rules for waivers.

**GOVERNOR'S  
REASON FOR  
VETO:**

“SB 2325 would make ‘confidential and privileged’ all discussions, thought processes and individual votes of members of the State Commission on Judicial Conduct; discussions or thought processes of employees and special counsel of the commission; and identity of a confidential complainant or informant. As the protections the commission needs to perform its duties are already provided in law, I am vetoing SB 2325.”

**RESPONSE:** Neither **Sen. Juan Hinojosa**, the bill’s author, nor **Rep. Jerry Madden**, the House sponsor, had a comment on the veto.

**NOTES:** SB 2325 passed the House on the Local, Consent, and Resolutions Calendar on May 27 and was not analyzed in a *Daily Floor Report*.