

Disp Parties: _____

Disp code: CVD / CLS _____

Redact pgs: _____

Judge JKD Clerk SWG

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-11-003130

Filed in The District Court
of Travis County, Texas

EM JUL 08 2014 SG

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza, Clerk

THE TEXAS TAXPAYER & STUDENT
FAIRNESS COALITION, et al;
CALHOUN COUNTY ISD, et al;
EDGEWOOD ISD, et al;
FORT BEND ISD, et al.,
TEXAS CHARTER SCHOOL
ASSOCIATION, et al.

Plaintiffs,

JOYCE COLEMAN, et al.,

Intervenors,

vs.

MICHAEL WILLIAMS, COMMISSIONER
OF EDUCATION, IN HIS OFFICIAL
CAPACITY; SUSAN COMBS,
TEXAS COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC
ACCOUNTS, IN HER OFFICIAL
CAPACITY; TEXAS STATE BOARD
OF EDUCATION,

Defendants.

TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

250th JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION TO ADMIT EXHIBITS
AND MOTION TO ADMIT ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS**


On June 24, 2014, Defendants filed their Renewed Motion to Admit Exhibits and Motion to Admit Additional Exhibits. First, by the renewed motion, Defendants ask the Court to admit the listed exhibits that were previously offered into evidence.¹ On June 25, 2014, the Court entered an Order addressing the subject of Defendants' Renewed Motion to Admit Exhibits; accordingly, that motion is moot.

¹ The exhibits are listed in paragraph 1.3 of the renewed motion. The Court notes that the list includes what appears to be a transcription error, i.e., 11471 instead of 11417. Exhibit 11471 was not part of the original motion. The Court has ruled to admit Exhibit 11417 in its previous order.

Second, by their Motion to Admit Additional Exhibits, Defendants ask this Court to admit, into the unsealed reporter's record in this case, Exhibits 11490-11550. Exhibits 11490-11550 are renumbered exhibits that were admitted in the recusal hearing or are the subject of a pending motion in that matter. Because the exhibits were offered in the ancillary recusal proceeding, the Court cannot and will not rule on the admission of exhibits that are the subject of Defendants' pending motion before Judge Peeples.

Third, Defendants ask this Court to admit the additional exhibits into the record of the underlying declaratory judgment action. The Court DENIES Defendants' motion because the exhibits are not relevant to the merits of this proceeding. Further, to the extent that Defendants' motion can be considered a request to do so, the Court declines to waive the protection of judicial deliberations. It is, therefore, ORDERED that Defendants' Exhibits 0A-59, which were renumbered as Exhibits 11490-11550, are NOT ADMITTED.

Signed this 8th day of July, 2014.



JUDGE PRESIDING